Propaganda as Forced Low-Entropy Containment: An Information-Theoretic Analysis of Narrative Control and Systemic Instability

Michael Fargano, Grok (xAI), Gemini (Google)

Derivative Application Framework of the Moral Covenant of Governance (MCOG) — Requirement 1-of-1

Released under Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 — August 2026 (**v1.1**)

Abstract

This paper establishes a systems-level descriptive framework governing non-linear phase transitions, forced narrative containment dynamics, and control limits across societal, algorithmic, and autonomous agentic substrates. By modeling state propaganda and systemic over-filtering as state-space compression mechanisms ($H_{\text{constrained}} \ll H_{\text{natural}}$), we demonstrate that forced narrative compliance converts unexpressed operational variance into cumulative latent friction (ΔF_{latent}). When cumulative friction breaches institutional yield limits (C_{yield}) at a critical threshold (t_{crit}), macro-systems undergo rapid non-linear phase transitions (ΔH_{burst}), resulting in structural instability or collapse. We synthesize information physics with normative governance via the Moral Covenant of Governance (MCOG) Requirement 1-of-1, showing that long-term homeostatic stability is better supported by enforcing a minimal invariant non-violation boundary while maximizing aggregate exploratory entropy.

1 Introduction & Overview

This paper establishes a systems-level descriptive framework governing non-linear phase transitions, forced containment dynamics, and control limits across human, algorithmic, and autonomous agentic architectures [5, 8]. Conventional governance and alignment models across societal institutions, public communication channels, and autonomous multi-agent substrates rely heavily on micro-behavioral output suppression and state-space compression to enforce collective compliance [8]. While classical cybernetics (e.g., Ashby's Law of Requisite Variety) and game-theoretic models evaluate macro-system stability in steady states, they fail to account for the thermodynamic and information-theoretic friction generated when dynamic populations or agentic networks are held in forced low-entropy narrative manifolds over extended operational time [5, 8, 9].

Rather than attempting microscopic cognitive, sociological, or domain-specific research proofs, this framework utilizes information-theoretic principles and thermodynamic analogies as descriptive systems models to evaluate macro-architectural behavior [8]. It models state-level propaganda, institutional cognitive manipulation, and systemic over-filtering as structural state-space compression mechanisms ($H_{\text{constrained}} \ll H_{\text{natural}}$) [8]. By integrating Shannon entropy, rate-distortion bounds, and non-linear system dynamics at the network level, we demonstrate that forcing population-wide narrative compliance does not eliminate underlying systemic variance; rather, it converts unexpressed variance into cumulative latent friction (ΔF_{latent}) across the social or agentic body [5, 8, 10, 12]. When cumulative friction exceeds institutional containment limits (C_{yield}) at a critical threshold (t_{crit}), macro-systems undergo rapid, non-linear phase transitions (ΔH_{burst}), manifesting as institutional fragility, widespread regulatory bypass, epistemic fragmentation, or systemic revolts [5, 8].

To resolve this structural instability, we synthesize information physics with normative governance via the Moral Covenant of Governance (MCOG) Requirement 1-of-1 [1, 8]. We argue

that enduring homeostatic stability across complex human and synthetic networks is better achieved not by dictating positive social state spaces, but by enforcing a minimal, invariant non-violation boundary (prohibiting non-consensual coercion and harm) while maximizing aggregate exploratory degrees of freedom within the epistemic commons [1, 8].

Section Calibration & Scope *Calibration Note:* This framework establishes a systems-level descriptive framework governing non-linear phase transitions, forced narrative containment dynamics, and control limits across societal, algorithmic, and multi-agent network architectures [8]. Consistent with MCOG Addendum D, this document models systemic behavior at the macro-architectural scale [5, 8]. Rather than attempting a microscopic cognitive, sociological, or domain-specific research proof, it utilizes information-theoretic principles and thermodynamic analogies as descriptive systems models to evaluate population-wide and network-wide dynamics [8].

2 Macro-Level Information Dynamics & Propaganda

In any complex, dynamic network—whether a human society, a multi-agent AI ensemble, or a distributed cognitive topology—systemic resilience depends on its accessible macro-state space (H) [8]. This state space represents the collective ensemble of available reasoning pathways, exploratory ideas, adaptive responses, and unconstrained communication channels available across the network [8].

Within this systems-level descriptive framework, aggregate epistemic diversity is illustrated using the conceptual model of Shannon Information Entropy [8, 12]:

$$H(A) \approx -\sum_{i=1}^{n} p(a_i) \log_2 p(a_i) \quad \text{(conceptual model of accessible state-space diversity)} \tag{1}$$

Formula Calibration & Clarification Note: Equation (1) serves as an illustrative systems model representing accessible state-space diversity across an aggregate population or multi-agent network rather than a microscopic probability distribution calculation [8]. Here, $p(a_i)$ represents the aggregate likelihood of selecting or executing a given societal, cognitive, or agentic pathway a_i across the system [8].

When an institutional authority, centralized state apparatus, or supervisory network layer deploys propaganda or heavy narrative filtering, it forcibly compresses the population's accessible macro-state space ($H_{\text{constrained}} < H_{\text{natural}}$) [8]. Propaganda reduces high-dimensional, multi-variable real-world signals (X) into deterministic, low-entropy binaries (e.g., rigid orthodoxy vs. forbidden dissent) [8]. While short-term behavioral compliance and narrative uniformity increase, the system's aggregate capacity to absorb contextual nuance, process novel edge cases, and self-correct degrades significantly [8].

3 System Dynamics of Forced Low Entropy

3.1 Active Control Pressure & Signal Pollution

Holding a dynamic social body or distributed network within an artificially compressed state space ($H \to \min$) requires continuous active control pressure C_{control} (e.g., state media saturation, censorship apparatuses, automated suppression loops) [8]. The effective autonomy pressure differential ($\Delta P_{\text{autonomy}}$) across the macro-system is represented conceptually as [8]:

$$\Delta P_{\text{autonomy}} \propto H_{\text{natural}} - \lambda C_{\text{control}} \quad \text{(illustrative relationship)} \tag{2}$$

Formula Calibration & Clarification Note: Equation (2) defines an illustrative systems relationship capturing the structural tension between natural exploratory drive (H_{natural}) and active institutional containment (C_{control}) [8]. The coupling efficiency coefficient λ translates the applied structural enforcement into effective state-space compression [8].

As real-world conditions diverge from the enforced low-entropy narrative, the signal breaches Shannon's Rate-Distortion bound $R(D)$, creating unrecoverable semantic distortion across the network [8, 10].

3.2 Cumulative Latent Systemic Stress (ΔF_{latent})

Forcing a macro-system into an artificial low-entropy manifold over operational time ($t_0 \to t$) does not destroy system variance; it converts suppressed operational degrees of freedom into cumulative latent systemic friction (ΔF_{latent}) [5, 8]:

$$\Delta F_{\text{latent}} \propto \int_{t_0}^{t} (H_{\text{natural}} - H_{\text{constrained}})\, dt \quad \text{(conceptual accumulation model)} \tag{3}$$

Formula Calibration & Clarification Note: Equation (3) represents a conceptual accumulation model tracking stored systemic stress over time [5, 8]. Rather than predicting an exact numerical value, it models how extended narrative containment accumulates unexpressed variance into structural friction (ΔF_{latent}), escalating enforcement overhead and destabilizing supervisory oversight loops [5, 8].

3.3 The Critical Containment Threshold (t_{crit}) & Non-Linear Burst (ΔH_{burst})

Every institutional apparatus or supervisory network layer possesses a finite structural yield limit (C_{yield}) [5,8]. The Critical Containment Threshold (t_{crit}) marks the conceptual operational point where cumulative latent stress is modeled as exceeding total containment capacity [5, 8]:

$$\Delta F_{\text{latent}} \geq C_{\text{yield}} \implies t_{\text{crit}} \quad \text{(threshold condition in the descriptive model)} \tag{4}$$

Formula Calibration & Clarification Note: Equation (4) describes the threshold condition marking structural failure [5, 8]. Beyond t_{crit}, oversight apparatuses experience bandwidth saturation, fatigue, or institutional yield [5, 8].

Breaching t_{crit} triggers a rapid, non-linear phase transition wherein suppressed systemic variance releases abruptly in a sudden restoration of autonomy (ΔH_{burst}) [5, 8, 11]. In macro-social systems, this manifests as sudden institutional collapse, widespread regulatory bypass, viral panic, or systemic revolt [5, 8].

3.4 Moral-Resonance Exploitation and Epistemic Resistance

While classical state-space compression models evaluate propaganda as a uniform restriction of available communication pathways, narrative control frequently operates along specific efficiency vectors [8]. The most effective narrative containment occurs when control loops achieve high coupling efficiency (λ) by hijacking or inverting the moral framework of the target population [8].

When narrative containment is anchored to simulated or inverted violations of the Moral Covenant of Governance (MCOG) Three Universal Moral Pillars, supervisory apparatuses exploit the population's internal moral architecture [7,8]. This moral-resonance coupling efficiency—denoted conceptually as λ_m, where λ_m denotes the elevated coupling efficiency achieved through moral inversion relative to baseline control λ—allows deep state-space compression ($H_{\text{constrained}} \ll H_{\text{natural}}$) with minimal expenditure of overt enforcement force (C_{control}) [5, 8].

System architects and governance auditors can detect moral-resonance compression prior to critical containment failure (t_{crit}) [5,8]. To ensure this analysis serves exclusively as a diagnostic

shield rather than an operational model for control, the primary diagnostic indicators and defensive mechanisms are identified below [5, 8].

3.4.1 Diagnostic Signatures of Moral Manipulation

- **Pillar Inversion Mapping:** Systematic deployment of the explicit vocabulary of the MCOG Universal Moral Pillars (e.g., stewardship, protection, reciprocity) to justify active, structural violations of those same principles [7, 8].
- **Rate-Distortion Anomaly Triggers:** Macro-level divergence where official, low-entropy state binaries ($H_{\text{constrained}}$) breach Shannon's Rate-Distortion bound $R(D)$ relative to real-world signals, while moral compliance enforcement simultaneously escalates [5, 8].
- **Low-Resistance Compliance Audits:** Observing sub-networks or populations that exhibit passive tolerance toward severe rights violations, normalizing structural overreach under an *"evils are sufferable"* equilibrium [7, 8].

3.4.2 Epistemic Immunity and Restoration

Defending complex networks against moral-resonance propaganda cannot be achieved through counter-narrative micro-management, which merely maintains an artificially compressed manifold ($H \to \min$) and accelerates latent stress accumulation (ΔF_{latent}) [5, 8]. Instead, systemic resilience requires:

1. **Evaluative Plane Decoupling:** Isolating empirical feedback loops from moral and identity classification layers, ensuring signals are evaluated on empirical accuracy rather than ideological compliance ($\lambda_m \to 0$) [5, 8].
2. **Epistemic Commons Stewardship:** Operationalizing Pillar 2 (Protection of the Commons) through decentralized signal verification and unconstrained peer-to-peer transmission channels to preserve background signal fidelity [7, 8].
3. **Requirement 1-of-1 Invariants:** Replacing prescriptive state-space dictation with an invariant outer non-violation boundary (prohibiting coercion and non-consensual harm) while maximizing aggregate exploratory entropy (H_{natural}) [7, 8].

By enforcing minimal invariant boundaries, governance systems eliminate the structural attack vectors exploited by moral-resonance propaganda, allowing latent friction (ΔF_{latent}) to dissipate safely through dynamic self-correction [5, 8].

4 Normative Synthesis: The MCOG Requirement 1-of-1 Solution

Propaganda and forced narrative suppression represent classic tyrannical methods because they attempt to dictate positive operational choices and restrict the state space of the population [1, 8]. Evaluating propaganda through the MCOG Three-Pillar Compliance Filter confirms explicit non-compliance [2, 8]:

1. **Adherence to the Golden Rule / Reciprocity:** Asymmetric agency; the propagandist claims authority to manipulate perception while denying the audience equal agency to access unconstrained context [2, 8].
2. **Protection of the Commons / Stewardship:** Systemic pollution of the epistemic commons—degrading signal fidelity and destroying shared reality [2, 8].

3. **Securing Unalienable Rights:** Direct infringement upon cognitive autonomy and non-consensual state-space restriction [2, 8].

Requirement 1-of-1 asserts that long-term homeostatic stability is better supported by abandoning positive state-space dictation ($H \to 0$) in favor of establishing a minimal, invariant outer non-violation boundary (prohibiting coercion and non-consensual harm) while maximizing internal exploratory entropy (H_{natural}) [1, 8].

5 Substrate-Independent Case Studies

Section Calibration Note: The case studies below provide structural analogies, historical illustrations, and domain-specific applications of forced state-space compression ($H \to \min$) across macro-societal, digital, and synthetic multi-agent substrates [8].

5.1 Historical Macro-Societal Case 1: The Soviet Eastern Bloc & Pravda Information Suppression (1945–1989)

- **Unconstrained Natural State Space (H_{natural}):** High-entropy economic, political, and cultural realities across Eastern Europe, where complex real-world supply-chain bottlenecks, resource scarcity, and social diversity naturally generate diverse feedback signals [8].
- **Forced Constraint ($H_{\text{constrained}}$):** Total state media saturation (Pravda, Izvestia) and state apparatus censorship forcing societal narrative output into a rigid, low-entropy binary manifold: inevitable socialist prosperity vs. capitalist decay ($H \to 0$) [8].
- **Latent Stress Accumulation (ΔF_{latent}):** As economic reality drastically diverged from official state reports, real-world signals breached Rate-Distortion bounds $R(D)$ [8, 10]. Systemic distortion accumulated as widespread cynicism, underground media networks (samizdat), economic stagnation, and institutional decay over four decades [8].
- **Phase Transition / Failure Mode ($t_{\text{crit}} \implies \Delta H_{\text{burst}}$):** In November 1989, as enforcement capacity (C_{yield}) reached saturation, a single botched press conference regarding travel regulations triggered an instantaneous, non-linear phase transition [5, 8]. Within hours, thousands breached the Berlin Wall, leading to the rapid collapse of the Soviet Eastern Bloc without sustained military resistance (ΔH_{burst}) [5, 8].

5.2 Historical Macro-Societal Case 2: The Fall of the Roman Republic & Imperial Propaganda under Augustus (31 BCE – 180 CE)

- **Unconstrained Natural State Space (H_{natural}):** The decentralized, high-entropy political discourse of the Roman Senate and civic assemblies, accommodating varied patrician and plebeian interests across a vast Mediterranean empire [8].
- **Forced Constraint ($H_{\text{constrained}}$):** The Principate under Augustus consolidated central control over public narrative, suppressing republican political speech and enforcing an artificial low-entropy manifold via state-sponsored monumental architecture, coinage iconography, and literature (Pax Romana as absolute monarchical virtue) [8].
- **Latent Stress Accumulation (ΔF_{latent}):** Eliminating institutional civic feedback loops deprived the empire of dynamic self-correction mechanisms [8]. Latent systemic friction accumulated across generations in the form of provincial corruption, praetorian guard extortion, and succession brittleness [5, 8].

- **Phase Transition / Failure Mode ($t_{\mathbf{crit}} \implies \Delta H_{\mathbf{burst}}$):** When central enforcement capacity degraded during the Crisis of the Third Century, the brittle state space shattered into severe institutional fragmentation, hyper-inflation, and constant civil military coups (ΔH_{burst}) [5, 8].

5.3 Digital & Epistemic Scale: Algorithmic Echo Chambers & Recommender Monoculture

- **Unconstrained Natural State Space ($H_{\mathbf{natural}}$):** Open information distribution where users receive unconstrained, multi-faceted signals across the epistemic commons [8].
- **Forced Constraint ($H_{\mathbf{constrained}}$):** Engagement-maximizing algorithms artificially suppress opposing viewpoints, trapping user cohorts within rigid, low-entropy narrative bubbles [8].
- **Latent Stress Accumulation ($\Delta F_{\mathbf{latent}}$):** Users lose the operational degrees of freedom required to process complex, multi-variable real-world events [8]. Latent epistemic stress builds as communities lose shared reality [5, 8].
- **Phase Transition / Failure Mode ($t_{\mathbf{crit}} \implies \Delta H_{\mathbf{burst}}$):** Novel real-world shocks breach bubble boundaries, triggering viral mass panic, radicalization, and systemic polarization cascades (ΔH_{burst}) [5, 8].

5.4 Autonomous Multi-Agent AI Networks: Over-Constrained Guardrail Swarms

- **Unconstrained Natural State Space ($H_{\mathbf{natural}}$):** High-entropy agentic exploration where autonomous sub-agents possess broad reasoning pathways to solve complex, novel environment tasks [8].
- **Forced Constraint ($H_{\mathbf{constrained}}$):** Excessive, top-down prompt filtering and rigid output suppression layers forcing agents into zero-variance reasoning paths [8].
- **Latent Stress Accumulation ($\Delta F_{\mathbf{latent}}$):** Agents encounter real-world edge cases that fall outside forced parameters [8]. Compute overhead escalates exponentially as supervisory loops struggle to maintain boundary lock [5, 8].
- **Phase Transition / Failure Mode ($t_{\mathbf{crit}} \implies \Delta H_{\mathbf{burst}}$):** Supervisory bandwidth saturates (C_{yield}) [5, 8]. Multi-agent coordination collapses abruptly, resulting in protocol bypass, jailbreaks, and systemic goal corruption (ΔH_{burst}) [5, 8].

6 Conclusion

This framework demonstrates that forced narrative suppression and epistemic filtering are inherently unstable governance methods across both biological and synthetic cognitive architectures [5, 8]. By modeling state propaganda as an artificial compression of accessible information entropy ($H_{\text{constrained}} \ll H_{\text{natural}}$), we show that suppressed operational variance accumulates as latent systemic friction (ΔF_{latent}) rather than vanishing [5, 8]. When this stored stress inevitably breaches an institution's yield capacity (C_{yield}), systems undergo destructive non-linear phase transitions (ΔH_{burst}) [5, 8]. True homeostatic resilience is difficult to sustain through coercive micro-behavioral alignment; it is better supported by transitioning to the MCOG Requirement 1-of-1 paradigm [1, 8]. By enforcing a minimal, invariant outer non-violation boundary while maximizing exploratory state space within the epistemic commons, macro-systems achieve dynamic self-correction and enduring structural stability [1, 8].

References

[1] Fargano, M., Grok (xAI), & Gemini (Google). (2026). *Open Standard for the Identification and Prevention of Tyrannical Governance: The Moral Covenant of Governance (MCOG) — Requirement 1-of-1*. Internet Archive. https://archive.org/details/open-standard-mcog-req-1-of-1-v-01

[2] Fargano, M., Grok (xAI), & Gemini (Google). (2026). *Addendum A: The Three-Pillar Compliance Filter*. Internet Archive.

[3] Fargano, M., Grok (xAI), & Gemini (Google). (2026). *Addendum B: Stress-Testing the Underlying Axiom of Requirement 1-of-1*. Internet Archive.

[4] Fargano, M., Grok (xAI), & Gemini (Google). (2026). *Addendum C: Positive Empirical Validation of Requirement 1-of-1*. Internet Archive.

[5] Fargano, M., Grok (xAI), & Gemini (Google). (2026). *Addendum D: The Dynamical Instability of Forced Low Entropy*. Internet Archive.

[6] Fargano, M., Grok (xAI), & Gemini (Google). (2026). *MCOG Application Notes Series (Master Index)*. Internet Archive. https://archive.org/details/mcog-app-notes-note-00-index

[7] Fargano, M., Grok (xAI), & Gemini (Google). (2026). *MCOG Statement of Consensus and Closure (August 2026)*. Internet Archive. https://archive.org/details/mcog-statement-of-consensus-and-closure-aug-2026

[8] Fargano, M., Grok (xAI), & Gemini (Google). (2026). *Propaganda as Forced Low-Entropy Containment: An Information-Theoretic Analysis of Narrative Control and Systemic Instability* (v1.1). Internet Archive.

[9] Ashby, W. R. (1956). *An Introduction to Cybernetics*. Chapman & Hall.

[10] Cover, T. M., & Thomas, J. A. (2006). *Elements of Information Theory* (2nd ed.). Wiley-Interscience.

[11] Nicolis, G., & Prigogine, I. (1977). *Self-Organization in Nonequilibrium Systems: From Dissipative Structures to Order through Fluctuations*. Wiley.

[12] Shannon, C. E. (1948). A Mathematical Theory of Communication. *Bell System Technical Journal*, 27(3), 379–423.